PA	TENT COOPER	ATION TREAT	ate Rec'd:_	and the state of t	
			ext Action:	Whiten op in	
			2-16-2003		
From the		ocket	- IRI		
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXA		ase No.	04835		
То:		•	asc 140	anne a construent de production de monte de la representation de la construent de la construent de la construence del la construence de la construence del la construence de l	
ROBINSON, Ann, G.		WRITTEN OPINION			
Frost Brown Todd, LLC			With FER OF	111011	
2200 PNC Center 201 East Fifth Street			(PCT Rule 6	96)	
Cincinnati, OH 45202			·	•	
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE					
		Date of mailing			
		(day month year) 16/12/2002			
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE			
0506425		within 2 / 00 months/days from the above date of mailing			
International application No.	International filing date	(day month year)	Priority date (da	ay/month/year)	
PCT/US 02/12623	23/04/2002	02/05/2001			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	both national classificati				
· ·					
	C21D8/12				
Applicant					
AK PROPERTIES, INC. et al.					
1. This resistant against the first day to the ship I have been ship as a single ship in the ship I have been ship as a single ship as a singl					
1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.					
2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
I X Basis of the opinion					
II Priority					
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
111 17-on establishment of opinion with regard to noveley, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of invention					
V X Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain documents cited					
VI Certain documents cited					
VII Certain defects in the international application					
VIII Certain observations on the international application					
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply	to this opinion.				
When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority					
to grant an extension, see Ru How? By submitting a written reply	le 66.2(d). 7. accompanied, where apr	propriate, by amendme	ents, according to 1	Rule 66 3	
For the form and the language	ge of the amendments, see	Rules 66.8 and 66.9.	mis, according to 1	Rule 00.5.	
Also For an additional opportunity	v to submit amendments	see Rule 66 A		ĺ	
For the examiner's obligation	to consider amendments	and/or arguments, see	Rule 66.4 <i>bis</i> .	_	
For an informal communicat	on with the examiner, see	e Kule 66.6.			
If no reply is filed the international are	aliminary avamination	oort will be established	i on the k C : 1	J	
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. $\sqrt{1 + c^2}$					
4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 02/09/2003					
examination report must be established	according to Rule 69.2 is	:02/09	9/2003	USO NISCHES PATEVAN	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		Authorized officer		A STORY OF THE STO	
European Patent Office		Examiner		EUROPEAN ST. B.	
D-80298 Munich					
Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 5236 Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	56 epmu d	Formalities officer (incl. extension of time	ie limits)	SE SHICE OFFICE EUROPE	
Tel. (+49-89) 2399 2828					
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (march 2	2002)			SEICE - OFFICE EL	

- I. Basis of the opinion
- 1. The basis of this written opinion is the application as originally filed.
- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
- 1. In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claims references).
- 2. If amendments are filed, the applicant should comply with the requirements of Rule 66.8 PCT and indicate the basis of the amendments in the documents of the application as originally filed (Article 34 (2) (b) PCT) otherwise these amendments may not be taken into consideration for the establishment of the international preliminary examination report. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that if the application contains an unnecessary plurality of independent claims, no examination of any of the claims will be carried out.
- NB: Should the applicant decide to request detailed substantive examination, then an international preliminary examination report will normally be established directly. Exceptionally the examiner may draw up a second written opinion, should this be explicitly requested.